UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,568	07/02/2003	Alfred Alexander Taylor	086345-000000US	2937
20350 7590 03/07/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAMINER	
			GEHMAN, BRYON P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		3728		
<u></u>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	03/07/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_	
1	т
۲.	)_
v.	_

	TAYLOR ET AL.  Art Unit  3728  th the correspondence address	
Bryon P. Gehman opears on the cover sheet wi	3728	
ppears on the cover sheet wi		
	th the correspondence address	
LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M		
DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communicatio  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
September 2006.		
ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	s ·
Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
n.		
	·	
election requirement.		
er.		
	ov the Examiner.	
<del>_</del>	, ,	d).
		,
n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
its have been received.		
nts have been received in A	oplication No	
ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
t of the certified copies not	received.	
4) Intention S	ummany (PTO-413)	
Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	
· —		
6) [ Other:		
	te, cause the application to become AB ng date of this communication, even if the second process of the second	te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), ing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  September 2006.  is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  In.  awn from consideration.  The election requirement.  Therefore the discussion of

Art Unit: 3728

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7, drawn to a machine to produce a package assembly, classified in class 493, subclass 200.
  - II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a method of forming a package assembly, classified in class 493, subclass 194.
  - III. Claims 11-15, drawn to an assembly of bags, classified in class 206, subclass 554.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by hand.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3728

806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another materially different apparatus such as by application manually of adhesive and manual cutting.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the package assembly as claimed can be made by providing premade individual bags and attaching them to the strip, obviating any cutting step of a plurality of joined bags.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to J. Georg Seka on February 22, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/613,568

Art Unit: 3728 .

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/613,568

Art Unit: 3728

272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday form 7:00am through 5:00pm.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

**BPG**